

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FII	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/085,288	02/28/2002		Jesse R. Frederick	1787-70700	1137	
23505	7590	12/13/2004		EXAM	EXAMINER	
CONLEY I	•	C.	WACHSMA	WACHSMAN, HAL D		
	HOUSTON, TX 77253-3267				PAPER NUMBER	
,				2857	<del></del>	

DATE MAILED: 12/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/085,288	FREDERICK, JESSE R.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Hal D Wachsman	2857				
The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.  after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin  earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 C	october 2004.					
·	,—					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-29 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-4,12-15 and 25-29 5) ☐ Claim(s) 5-11 and 16-24 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	is/are withdrawn from considerat	ion.				
Application Papers						
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 18 October 2004 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	e: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☒ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Settion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate datent Application (PTO-152)				



Art Unit: 2857

1. Applicant's election with traverse of species III (claims 16-24) in the reply filed on 10-18-04 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that while not identical, each of the limitations of independent claim 16 is substantially similar to at least one of the limitations of independent claim 5 and thus claim 16 is generic to claim 5 and for this reason, claims 5-11 of group (species) II as well as claims 16-24 of elected group (species) III, should be examined together. As a result of the Applicant's arguments and further review and consideration, as indicated by the Applicant, *claims 5-11 of species II have been examined in addition to the elected species III, claims 16-24*.

The requirement is still deemed proper, as modified above, and is therefore made FINAL.

- 2. Claims 1-4, 12-15 and 25-29 stand withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 10-18-04.
- 3. This application is in condition for allowance except for the following formal matters:
- a) Non-elected claims 1-4, 12-15 and 25-29, have not been cancelled. Appropriate correction is required.
- b) The CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS section on page 1 of the specification does not provide the U.S. application serial no. and the filing date for the cited co-pending application. When that is provided, the attorney's docket number cited is not necessary and can be deleted. Appropriate correction is required.

N. H. L. N. O. O. E. T.

Art Unit: 2857

c) The drawings filed 10-18-04 are objected to because labeling (i.e. in words) is needed in Figures 9A-9E so as to facilitate an understanding of the invention from the drawings. Appropriate correction is required.

- d) Page 16, paragraph 0049, of the specification, refers to "Figure 9A-E" which it appears should be "Figures 9A-9E". Appropriate correction is required.
- e) The use of the trademark Motorola (see page 7, paragraph 0035) has been noted in this application. It should be *capitalized* wherever it appears and be accompanied by the generic terminology.

Although the use of trademarks is permissible in patent applications, the proprietary nature of the marks should be respected and every effort made to prevent their use in any manner which might adversely affect their validity as trademarks.

- f) The Abstract is objected to because it contains purported merits (i.e. "..results in no loss of historical information"). Appropriate correction is required.
- g) Claims 5-11 and 16-24 are objected to under 37 C.F.R. 1.75(a) for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which the applicant regards as the invention. Claim 5, line 7, cites "the memory locations" however the antecedent basis is "continuously addressed memory locations". This same type of problem also occurs in claim 5, lines 8 and 9. Claim 6, line 7, cites "the continuously addressed memory space" however it appears that the antecedent basis is "continuously addressed memory locations". This same type of problem also occurs in claim 8, line 4, claim 9, line 4, claim 10, line 4, claim 11, line 7. Claim 7, line 2, cites "the point information" however it appears that the antecedent basis is "point component".

Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 2857

This same type of problem also occurs in claim 9, line 2, claim 10, line 2, claim 19, line 2, claim 21, line 2, claim 22, line 2. Claim 7, line 5, cites "the points" however the antecedent basis is "plurality of points". This same type of problem also occurs in claim 10, line 5, claim 19, line 4, claim 22, line 4. Claim 9, line 5, cites "the point" however it appears that the antecedent basis here is "each point". Claim 11, lines 6 and 8, cite "the segment" however the antecedent basis is "each segment". This same type of problem also occurs in claim 18, lines 4-5 and 6-7, claim 23, lines 4-5 and 6-7. The preamble of claim 16 cites "A natural gas flow measurement computer..." however in the body of the claim there is no reference to gas flow being measured. Claim 16, lines 3-4, cite "... storing a software programs..." however did the Applicant intend this to be "... storing software programs..." ? In the last line of claim 16 it appears that "a" is missing before the words "time-stamp" and "fourth portion". Claim 17, lines 1-2, cite ".. further comprising wherein the first portion..." which it appears should be "... wherein the first portion...". Claim 18, line 6, cites "the memory space" which it appears should be "the memory locations". This same type of problem also occurs in claim 19, line 3, claim 21, line 3, claim 22, line 3. Claim 20, line 2, cites "the time-stamp data" however the antecedent basis is "time-stamp". Claim 20, lines 3-4, cite "the continuously addressed memory space" which lacks antecedent basis. Claim 21, line 4, cites "the point" however the antecedent basis is "each point". The examiner asks the applicant to better claim the limitations cited above. While the examiner understands the intentions of the applicant he feels confusion could be drawn from the limitations cited above.

Art Unit: 2857

Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex* parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire **TWO**MONTHS from the mailing date of this letter.

- 4. The following references are cited as being art of general interest: DeAngelis et al. (5,226,153) which disclose a bus monitor with time stamp means, Jurewicz et al. (5,437,163) which disclose the logging data in which a memory is divided up into first and second data segments, Cheale et al. (6,272,445) which disclose data logging and Skeirik (5,006,992) which discloses a historical database of time-stamped data.
- 5. Claims 5-11 are allowable over the prior art because the prior art does not disclose or suggest: a random access memory coupled to a microcontroller and containing a historical database, the random access memory comprising a set of continuously addressed memory locations, and wherein a first portion of the memory locations comprises a segment component, a second portion of the memory locations comprises a point component, a third portion of the memory locations comprises timestamp data, and a fourth portion of the memory locations comprises log data wherein the first portion is continuous with the second portion which is continuous with the third portion which is continuous with the fourth portion.

Claims 16-24 are allowable over the prior art because the prior art does not disclose or suggest: a historical database of volumetric flow data stored in a random access memory coupled to a microcontroller, the random access memory comprising a

Art Unit: 2857

set of memory locations, and wherein a first portion of the memory locations comprises a segment component, a second portion of the memory locations comprises a point component, a third portion of the memory locations comprises a time-stamp, and a fourth portion of the memory locations comprises log data.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hal D Wachsman whose telephone number is 571-272-2225. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 7:00 A.M. to 4:30 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marc Hoff can be reached on 571-272-2216. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Primary Examiner Art Unit 2857

HW

December 8, 2004